                Comments on IMP/HOST and HOST/IMP Protocol Changes   1

                              Vint Cerf
                          Stanford University   2

With reference to RFC's 687, 690, and 692 (NIC 's 32564, 32699, and
32734 respectively) by D. C. Walden, J. Postel, and S. Wolfe
(respectively), I would like to offer some observations relative to
current international standards recommendations from working group
6.1 of the International Federation of Information Processing. In a
meeting held last May at the NCC, this working group voted to
present a recommendation to CCITT (International Consultative
Committee on Telephony and Telegraphy of the International
Telegraphics Union) for a standard packet (or DATAGRAM) header.   3

The proposed packet header format is meant to interface hosts to
packet networks. It is not a header for Host-to-Host protocol, nor
is it an IMP-to-IMP header. The bulk of the header is taken up with
addressing space (96 bits!) since this will be compatible with the
current maximum address space of the telephone system (14 digits)   4

  LOCAL NETWORK FIELD - 4 bits   4a

      This field allows local networks to operate easily on multiple
      formats, since the 4 bits can be used in any fashion desired
      by the local network.   4a1

  DATAGRAM FORMAT - 4 bits   4b

      This field could be used by ARPANET to contain "1001" binary,
      so as to maintain backward compatibility with the existing
      message leader format.   4b1

  PACKET TYPE CODE - 8 bits   4c

      This could be used for the HOST/IMP and IMP/HOST code.   4c1

  FACILITIES - 16 bits   4d

These bits have not yet been specifically allocated. Some will
no doubt be for international services (e.g. tracing at
gateways between networks, accounting, class of service). It
was the feeling of WG 6.1 members that some of these bits
(e.g. 8) might be allocated to the originating network (or
destination network) for its own use.   4d1

TEXT LENGTH - 16 bits   4e

These bits count the number of octets in the text of the
packet, not including octets in the header (which is fixed in
length for any particular format).   4e1

DESTINATION ADDRESS - 48 bits [!]   4f

These bits could be allocated in the following way:
Destination Network Identifier - 8 bits Destination Host
Identifier - 8 bits Destination IMP identifier - 16 bits
Reserved - 16 bits   4f1

SOURCE ADDRESS - 48 bits   4g

These bits would be used in a fashion similar to the
destination address bits.   4g1

The resulting packet is 144 bits long and adding the present 40 bit
Host-to-Host header results in a total of 184 bits, which is not
very pleasant. A temporary fix (until we can introduce a new NCP
design) might be to squeeze out the reserved 16 bit fields in the
source and destination address fields, giving 32 bits to carry the
byte size and byte count information for the present Host/Host
protocol. Alternatively, the length field of the packet header and
one of the facilities flags (or a whole field) could be used to
indicate byte size and byte count. Either idea would require some
fairly substantial modification of existing NCP programs, so is
probably not very palatable.   5

Another alternative would be to add a dummy byte after the 144th bit
of header, followed by 40 bits of NCP header, giving a total length
of message leader and NCP header of 192 bits, a number divisible by
12, 16, 24, 32, 48.   6

With respect to the proposed text length field, although bit lengths
are the most flexible, it seems reasonable to admit that nearly all
data transmission is done in 8 bit quantitities, and therefore that
bit lengths are, in fact, an unnecessary luxury. This is a weak
argument when 36 bit and 32 bit machines must interface.   7

                                                                         8